, 1	THE STATE OF MICHIGAN
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE
3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,
4	Plaintiff,
5	v. Criminal Case Motion hearing
6	MR. CHARLES LEWIS, 76-005890-01
7	
8	Defendant.
9	/
10	Proceedings had in the above entitled matter
11	before the HONORABLE QUIANA LILLARD, Circuit Court Judge
12	for Detroit, Michigan, on February 15th, 2017.
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	MR. JASON WILLIAMS, ESQ. 1441 St. Antoine Street
16	Detroit, Michigan 48226
17	
18	Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, The People of the State of Michigan
19	
20	MS. VALERIE NEWMAN, ESQ. 645 Griswold Street
21	Ste 3300 Detroit, Michigan 48226
22	Appearing on behalf of the Defendant,
23	Mr. Charles Lewis
24	REPORTED BY: DOREEN PICKETT, CSR 6695
25	1441 St. Antoine Street Detroit, Michigan 48226

And I believe that you know that no one can take that away from you if you wish to represent yourself. I think that Judge Kenny will still have to appoint someone to act as standby counsel for you.

So, if there is someone you have in mind you think would be good for that I don't know if Judge Kenny will take into account. You should still communicate that, okay.

Is there anything else?

MS. NEWMAN: Judge, I just want to add that especially if there's any chance that he will be representing himself that we did meet the prosecution and I with David Baxter to recreate the file and turn over documents that's one of the things that created issues with Mr. Lewis he thought I should be providing him documents in regards to that process.

But there is now a for good or for bad there is now a I believe a certified court file certified right by the clerk's office.

And um, normally, when you withdraw we return the court file to the Court but I never received a court file so there was nothing for me to return. But he will need that the certified court file.

So I don't know if there's a way to make that happen so he can have all of the documents as soon as

possible so he can see what is actually in that recreated court file.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's part of the issue which has to be addressed because Mr. Lewis asserts that your participation in that process violated attorney client privilege.

And I don't think that it will violate attorney client privilege because the only things that are privileged are your private communications between you and your client.

Documents are not protected by attorney client privilege. Now of course, if you had in your file private communications between you and your client or attorney notes or work product that kind of stuff shouldn't be shared.

But the only thing that you all were working with the representative from the Wayne County Clerk's Office to do was to share documents.

Just like the Prosecutor's Office was to give you things that came from the court file that you didn't have. And you were to well, you all weren't really giving each other anything, but my understanding is that you all worked with the clerk's office to pull together all of the court documents only, only court documents.

No work product from either side was shared,

would that be fair to say. 1 MR. LEWIS: No actually --2 THE COURT: Hold on, not you yet Mr. Lewis. MR. WILLIAMS: On behalf of the People I did not 3 turn over any work product. I never saw any work product 4 in the documents or actually it was a flash drive that 5 6 defense counsel Ms. Newman gave me there was no work 7 product that I saw in any of those documents. 8 THE COURT: Any notes or private communications 9 between Ms. Newman and her client? 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Not that I saw. 11 THE COURT: And did you receive anything like 12 that from --13 MS. NEWMAN: I received nothing like that from the Prosecutor your Honor and I can assure you that I went 14 through an extensive process looking at every single piece 15 of paper that was handed over to the prosecutor's office. 16 17 And that nothing even remotely close to an attorney client product was turned over. The items that 18 19 were turned over were transcripts and um pleadings. 20 The pleadings are all public documents. You can get them off Pacer you know you can there are multiple way 21 22 s that you can get them. 23 But we shared I shared the pleadings that I had in my file as well as the transcripts. And that was the 24 25

extent of any pieces of the lower court file I had which I 1 didn't have much the prosecutor had more of that then I 2 did and that was it. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Lewis is there something you 5 wanted to say? 6 MR. LEWIS: Yeah, you know like you said the transcript and all of that is public records. The County 7 Clerk didn't have any records. 8 The Prosecutor didn't have any records. All of 10 the documents that you have right now are from a 11 reconstructive file came from my foot locker (sic) at one 12 point in time. All of those documents those documents I turned 13 14 over to Foley and Lerner. And I asked Foley and Lerner to 15 turn them over to SADO with the understanding that they 16 would remain private. I turned them over to Foley and Lerner I didn't 17 18 turn them over to them so they could turn them over to the 19 Prosecutor. 20 THE COURT: So Mr. Lewis are you saying that you wanted to keep the pleading and the documents for 21 22 yourself? 23 MR. LEWIS: No, I am saying when I turned them 24 over counsel --25 THE COURT: To Foley and Lerner?

MR. LEWIS: -- when I had Foley and Lerner turn them over to SADO I did that so I could get legal advice from SADO. And I felt like they were privileged documents and SADO didn't have any business turning them over to the Prosecutor.

I feel like that violates privilege I didn't give them permission to turn them over.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is that I ordered it to be done. I ordered them to turn over any court documents that they had in their possession.

I didn't order them to turn them over. I ordered them to work with the Clerk's Office to try to reconstruct the file.

So to the extent that that what happened and that was without your consent it is because I ordered it.

MR. LEWIS: I feel that was abuse of discretion.

THE COURT: All right.

And so that is noted and dully preserved for the record. If there was something improper about the Court's order somebody will tell me.

But you know the real thing is that it's not exactly true to say that the County Clerk's office never had any records.

Now I didn't see them you know that when I got this case the file was missing. But my understanding is

from previous counsel for the People as well as previous counsel for from Foley and Lerner for you as well as the representatives that gave testimony from Judge Ewell's staff as well as from the County Clerk's staff that there was a file.

And that when Foley and Lerner was working on this case on your behalf there was three red ropes (sic) full of files that somehow came up messing.

So I don't know what you had that you gave to Foley and Lerner that they then gave to SADO; I don't know because I was not apart of any of that.

All I know is that I'm faced with a situation where I was being asked to make decisions about what did or did not happen in your case without the benefit of a court file.

So all could do was try to ask everyone involved that is the Prosecutor, the County Clerk's Office and Defense counsel to work together to try to see what documents everyone had and to make sure that everyone had everything.

I didn't want to see a situation where the prosecutor had some things that your lawyer didn't have or your lawyer had things that the prosecutor didn't have and that both sides had things that the County Clerk's Office didn't have and therefore the Court didn't have.

1 So my efforts to try to get everyone to work together and share documents was in no way meant to 3 violate your rights or to do anything that was unfair. But only to try to make sure that everyone had 5 the same documents and that everyone was working with the same benefits of all of the same information. 6 7 And again, if there was something improper about 8 what I did, we'll know. So at this point, Ms. Newman I'm 9 going to grant your motion to withdraw. 10 Judge Kenny will make a decision as to whether 11 or not he's going to appoint standby counsel and then have 12 a whole full hearing at some point on whether or not this 13 Court should continue to preside over this case and then 14 that will be resolved from there. 15 And then whatever happens happens. 16 MR. LEWIS: Just one point if I may. 17 THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Lewis of course. 18 MR. LEWIS: Um, 90 percent of the documents what 19 you now call a reconstructive file came from me. 20 MS. NEWMAN: That's not true Judge. That is not 21 true. We sat, we sat, we sat --22 MR. LEWIS: We can go item by item. 23 MS. NEWMAN: -- you had. 24 MR. LEWIS: We can go item by item and you can

explain exactly what you talking about.

25

MS. NEWMAN: -- Judge I'm not going to argue with my client on the record. I'm going to represent as counsel of record and um under my ethical duties that the prosecutor had many of the same documents that I have.

So while the documents in the recreated records might look like the same documents that Mr. Lewis had it is not that they came from Mr. Lewis file we had documents that over lapped.

We had the exact same documents they had all of the pleadings from the attorney generals office. They had transcripts from the attorney general's office.

I mean the attorney generals office was able to supply a significant amount of documents to us. So I want to state very clearly for the record, that is not true.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is we never got to the point where I addressed anything about what happened with the reconstruction of the file because there was a breakdown between counsel and Mr. Lewis.

So I never even got to that point where we've had any hearing about what happened in reconstructing the file because of this issue.

So I mean, I would imagine I would hope that 90 percent of the documents that are in the file are the same as what Mr. Lewis had.

I would hope that there was a situation where

everybody was operating with the majority of the content of the actual court file.

I think it will be terrible if all of a sudden the prosecutor had boxes and boxes of documents that had never been disclosed or provided to the defense in discovery.

So if it turns out Mr. Lewis, that you are correct, that 90 percent of what's in the recreative file is what you already had that's not a bad thing.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, it is a bad thing.

THE COURT: That's a good thing. Well, you might feel like it's a bad thing Mr. Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: It's a bad thing if you have to get the stuff from me to make a reconstructive file.

THE COURT: But Mr. Lewis that's the part you're misunderstanding. You are operating under the assumption that just because these things are now in the file that they were things that the prosecutor didn't already have.

Remember, only one entities file went missing.

The prosecutor's file did not go missing. Your file didn't go missing.

It was the court file that went missing. So the -- it will be one thing if you were the only one that had a file then what you are saying would be true.

But everyone had a file except for the County

1	Clerk's Office.
2	MR. LEWIS: I disagree with that.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	Well, you can disagree, that's fine and I think
5	we're done here. That concludes there matter. And once
6	Judge Kenny makes a decision about what he's going to do
7	then we'll get another date.
8	Please go with my deputies Mr. Lewis.
9	Yes.
10	MS. NEWMAN: Judge, I did not prepare an order
11	because I was not sure what you were going to do.
12	THE COURT: Oh, you don't need to prepare an
13	order Ms. Brown has an order.
14	MS. NEWMAN: Okay.
15	Thank you your Honor.
16 '	THE COURT: You're welcome.
17	And I am signing it right now and I will have
18	copies for all three of you. You will get your copy as
19	well Mr. Lewis today, okay.
20	MR. LEWIS: All right.
21	Thank you.
22	(Proceedings concluded 9:54 am)
23	
24	
25	

## 1 REPORTER C E R TI I CA 2 3 4 I, DOREEN PM PICKETT, Certified Shorthand 5 Reporter, in and for the State of Michigan, do hereby 6 certify that the foregoing transcript from my stenographic 7 writing is comprised of a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken in the above-entitled matter. 8 9 10 11 Doreen Pickett 12 13 DOREEN PM PICKETT, CSR 6695 14 1441 St. Antoine Courtroom 803 15 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 224-7556 16 17 Dated: April 13th, 2017 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25